

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,642	06/26/2003	Steven F. Hardwick	IIY-23	5048
22827	590 10/05/2004		EXAMINER	
DORITY & MANNING, P.A. POST OFFICE BOX 1449			PASCHALL	, MARK H
GREENVILLE, SC 29602-1449			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3742	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\Lambda \wedge$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1/1/			
	10/606,642	HARDWICK	00 l			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark H Paschall	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	Iress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this con BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.					
·)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	ion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,14-17 and 21-27</u> is/are rejected	d.					
·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p			Stage			
application from the International Bur						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		t received.				
Attachment(s)	∧ □ Intended	Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO 	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/606,642

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3,5,6,14,16,17,21,23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marner et al in view of Horner-Richardson et al. Marner et al teach the claimed plasma torch including threaded sections on 16 and 46. The claims call for use of an alignment section, which is inserted into the cathode, bore in lieu of use of threaded means. The patent to Horner-Richardson et al is applied for showing alignment section 87 that is insertable into a bore in element 53, figure 1, which is used for accurate alignment of the elements. In view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify the Marner et al system to use an alignment means which is insertable into the cathode bore, in lieu of use of threads, to effect a more accurate and replacable component. As per claim 3 not use of contact shoulder in both Marner et al and Horner-Richardson et al.

As per claims 5 and 6 notes that the artisan would have found it an obvious choice to use this type of alignment system to center any of the torch components, anode, shield cups, or other nozzle elements, in addition to cathode elements.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,642

Art Unit: 3742

Claims 4,15 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marner et al in view of Horner-Richardson et al as applied to claims 1-3,5,6,14,16,17,21,23-27 above, and further in view of Lu et al. Marner et al as modified teaches the claimed plasma torch assembly except for showing the claimed tolerances between the elements assembled, .001 to .008 inches. Lu et al though clearly shows such tolerances as conventional and leading to effective sealing in a plasma torch and in view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify the Marner et al teaching further to include the same tolerances, to attain the advantage of more effective seals between the elements.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-13,18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. These claims contain allowable subject matter for setting fort that least won concentric components, which are pressurized to deform in a radial direction for centering of the components.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sobr et al and Hackett et al are cited for disclosing pertinent plasma torch structures.

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H Paschall whose telephone number is 703 308-1642. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 703 308-2634. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

mp